

УДК 517.968.74

**ОЦЕНКИ СНИЗУ РЕШЕНИЙ СЛАБО НЕЛИНЕЙНОГО ВОЛЬТЕРРОВА
ИНТЕГРО-ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ ВТОРОГО ПОРЯДКА**

C. Искандаров, Г.Т. Халилова

Исследуется задача установления достаточных условий для оценки снизу на полуоси и стремления к бесконечности решений слабо нелинейного ИДУ второго порядка типа Вольтерра. Показывается, что данная задача решаема для решений из определенного многообразия начальных данных.

Ключевые слова: интегро-дифференциальное уравнение типа Вольтерра; оценка снизу; стремление к бесконечности; многообразие начальных данных.

**LOWER ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS OF WEAK NONLINEAR VOLTERRA
INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF SECOND ORDER**

S. Iskandarov, G.T. Khalilova

It is studied the problem of finding sufficient conditions for the lower estimates on the semiaxis and the tend to infinity of solutions of a weakly nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equation of second order. It is shown that this problem is solved for the solutions from certain manifold of the initial data.

Key words: Integro-differential equation of Volterra type; the lower estimate; the tend to infinity; the manifold of initial data.

All referenced functions of $t, (t, \tau)$ and their derivatives are continuous and relations occur in $t \geq t_0, t \geq \tau \geq t_0$; functions of x, y, z are continuous in $|x|, |y|, |z| < \infty; \mathcal{I} = [t_0, \infty)$; IDE stands for "integro-differential equation".

PROBLEM. Establish sufficient conditions for the lower estimates in \mathcal{I} and tends to infinity under $t \rightarrow \infty$ of solutions of the second order IDE:

$$\begin{aligned} &x''(t) + a_1(t)x'(t) + a_0(t)x(t) + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t [Q_0(t, \tau)x(\tau) + Q_1(t, \tau)x'(\tau)] d\tau = f(t) + \\ &+ F\left(t, x(t), x'(t), \int_{t_0}^t H(t, \tau, x(\tau), x'(\tau)) d\tau\right), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Where $F(t, x, y, z), H(t, \tau, x, y)$ satisfy the condition of weak nonlinearity:

$$\begin{aligned} &|F(t, x, y, z)| \leq g_0(t)|x| + g_1(t)|y| + \\ &+ g_2(t)|z|, |H(t, \tau, x, y)| \leq h_0(t, \tau)|x| + \\ &+ h_1(t, \tau)|y|, \quad (F, H) \\ &\text{with non-negative } g_0(t), g_1(t), g_2(t), h_0(t, \tau), h_1(t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

This problem is studied for the first time.

We consider the solutions of IDE (1) $\exists \forall x^{(k)}(t_0) (k = 0, 1)$. By virtue of conditions (F, H) such solutions exist.

Following [1], in the IDE (1) we make the following non-standard replacement:

$$x'(t) = \delta(t)x(t) + W(t)y(t), \quad (2)$$

where $\delta(t), W(t)$ are some weighing function and $\delta(t) \geq 0, W(t) > 0; y(t)$ is new unknown function, and the IDE (1) reduce to the following equivalent system:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = \delta(t)x(t) + W(t)y(t), \\ y'(t) + b_1(t)y(t) + b_0(t)x(t) + \int_{t_0}^t [P(t, \tau)x(\tau) + \\ + K(t, \tau)y(\tau)] d\tau = q(t) + (w(t))^{-1}F(t, x(t), \delta(t)x(t) + \\ W(t)y(t), \int_{t_0}^t H(t, \tau, x(\tau), \delta(\tau)x(\tau) + W(\tau)y(\tau)) d\tau), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (3) \end{cases}$$

where

$$b_1(t) \equiv a_1(t) + \delta(t) + W'(t)(W(t))^{-1},$$

$$b_0(t) \equiv \left[a_0(t) + \delta(t)a_1(t) + (\delta(t))^2 + \delta'(t) \right]$$

$$(W(t))^{-1}, P(t, \tau) \equiv (W(t))^{-1} [Q_0(t, \tau) + \delta(\tau)Q_1(t, \tau)],$$

$$K(t, \tau) = (W(t))^{-1} Q_1(t, \tau) W(\tau), \quad q(t) = f(t) (W(t))^{-1}.$$

We need an upper bound for $|y(t)|$. To do this, the system (3) apply the method of transformation equations Volterra [2, p. 194–217], the method of cutting functions [3, p. 41], the method of integral inequalities [4]. Thus, an upper bound $|y(t)|$.

Let [3]:

$$q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n q_i(t), \quad (q)$$

$\psi_i(t)$ ($i = 1..n$) – are some cutting functions,

$$R_i(t, \tau) = K_i(t, \tau) (\psi_i(t) \psi_i(\tau))^{-1},$$

$$E_i(t) = q_i(t) (\psi_i(t))^{-1} \quad (i = 1..n)$$

$$R_i(t, t_0) = A_i(t) + B_i(t) \quad (i = 1..n), \quad (R)$$

$c_i(t)$ ($i = 1..n$) – are some functions.

For arbitrarily fixed solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of system (3), as in [2, p. 194–217], its first equation is multiplied on the $x(t)$, the second – on the $y(t)$, we add these relations, then perform integration between t_0 to t , including parts, at the same time as in [3] we introduce conditions (K) , (q) , the functions $\psi_i(t), R_i(t, \tau)$, the condition (R) , functions $E_i(t), c_i(t)$, using lemmas 1.4 and 1.5 [5]. Then we have the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= (x(t))^2 + (y(t))^2 + 2 \int_{t_0}^t b_i(s) (y(s))^2 ds + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ A_i(t) (Y_i(t, t_0))^2 + B_i(t) (Y_i(t, t_0))^2 - \right. \\ &- 2 E_i(t) Y_i(t, t_0) + c_i(t) - \int_{t_0}^t \left[B_i'(s) (Y_i(s, t_0))^2 - \right. \\ &- 2 E_i'(s) Y_i(s, t_0) + c_i'(s) \left. \right] ds + \int_{t_0}^t R_{i\tau}'(t, \tau) (Y_i(t, \tau))^2 d\tau \Big\} \equiv c_* + \\ &+ 2 \int_{t_0}^t \delta(s) (x(s))^2 ds + 2 \int_{t_0}^t W(s) x(s) y(s) ds + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_0}^t \left[A_i'(s) (Y_i(s, t_0))^2 + \int_{t_0}^s R_{i\tau}''(s, \tau) (Y_i(s, \tau))^2 d\tau \right] ds - \\ &- 2 \int_{t_0}^t y(s) \{ q_0(s) + b_0(s) x(s) + \right. \\ &\left. + \int_{t_0}^t [P(s, \tau) x(\tau) + K_0(s, \tau) y(\tau)] d\tau + \right. \\ &\left. + (W(s))^{-1} F(s, x(s), \delta(s) x(s) + \right. \\ &\left. \left. + (W(s))^{-1} F(s, x(s), \delta(s) x(s) + \right. \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ W(s) y(s), \\ & \int_{t_0}^s H(s, \tau, x(\tau), \delta(\tau) x(\tau) + W(\tau) y(\tau)) d\tau \Big\} ds, \\ & t \geq t_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i(t, \tau) &\equiv \int_{\tau}^t \psi_i(\eta) y(\eta) d\eta, \\ c^* &= (x(t_0))^2 + (y(t_0))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. Suppose that, the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1) \delta(t) \geq 0, W(t) > 0, (K), (q), (R);$$

$$2) b_i(t) \geq 0;$$

3) $A_i(t) \geq 0, B_i(t) \geq 0, B_i'(t) \leq 0, R_{i\tau}'(t, \tau) \geq 0$, there exists functions $A_i^*(t) \geq 0, c_i(t), R_i^*(t) \geq 0$ such, that $A_i'(t) \leq A_i^*(t) A_i(t), (E_i^{(k)}(t))^2 \leq B_i^{(k)}(t) c_i^{(k)}(t)$,

$$R''_{its}(t, \tau) \leq R_i^*(t) R'_{it}(t, \tau) \quad (i = 1..n; K = 0, 1)$$

Then for any solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of system (3) are true the estimates:

$$(x(t))^2 + (y(t))^2 \leq u(t) \leq \left\{ \sqrt{c^*} + \int_{t_0}^t (W(s))^{-1} |q_0(s)| E(s) e^{-\int_{t_0}^s \delta(\tau) d\tau} ds \right\}^2 \times (E(t))^2 e^{2 \int_{t_0}^t \delta(s) ds}, \quad (5)$$

$$|y(t)| \leq \left\{ \sqrt{c^*} + \int_{t_0}^t (W(s))^{-1} |q_0(s)| E(s) e^{-\int_{t_0}^s \delta(\tau) d\tau} ds \right\} E(t) e^{\int_{t_0}^t \delta(s) ds}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &\equiv \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \left\{ W(s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [A_i^*(s) + R_i^*(s)] + |b_0(s)| + \right. \right. \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^s [|P_0(s, \tau)| + |K_0(s, \tau)|] d\tau + (W(s))^{-1} \\ &\left. \left. + [g_0(s) + g_1(s) \delta(s) + g_1(s) W(s)] + G_k(t, \tau) \right\} d\tau \right) \\ &G_k(t, \tau) \equiv g_2(t) h_k(t, \tau) \quad (k = 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

To prove this theorem from the identity (3) moves to the integral inequality, using the conditions (F, H) , then this inequality we apply lemma 1 [4].

Next we will deal with the lower bound solutions IDE (1).

From differential equation (2) by the method of Lagrange [6, p. 391–394], we obtain the following integral representation for $x(t)$:

$$x(t) = e^{\int_{t_0}^t \delta(s) ds} \left[x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\int_{t_0}^s \delta(\tau) d\tau} W(s) y(s) ds \right]. \quad (7)$$

From (7), as in [7,8], we have a lower bound for $|x(t)|$:

$$|x(t)| \geq e^{\int_{t_0}^t \delta(s) ds} \left[|x(t_0)| - \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\int_{t_0}^s \delta(\tau) d\tau} W(s) |y(s)| ds \right]. \quad (8)$$

Hence, by (6) we obtain

$$|x(t)| \geq e^{\int_{t_0}^t \delta(s) ds} \left[|x(t_0)| - \int_{t_0}^t W(s) E(s) \left\{ \sqrt{c^*} + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \int_{t_0}^s (W(\eta))^{-1} |q_0(\eta)| E(\eta) e^{-\int_{t_0}^\eta \delta(\tau) d\tau} d\eta \right\} ds \right]. \quad (9)$$

From (9) implies the following

THEOREM 2. Suppose: 1) all the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfy;

$$2) M(x(t_0), x'(t_0)) \equiv |x(t_0)| - \int_{t_0}^\infty W(s) E(s) \left\{ \sqrt{c^*} + \right. \\ \left. + \int_{t_0}^s (W(\eta))^{-1} |q_0(\eta)| E(\eta) e^{-\int_{t_0}^\eta \delta(\tau) d\tau} d\eta \right\} ds > 0. \quad (10)$$

Then for any solution $x(t)$ with initial data $x(t_0)$, $x'(t_0)$ from the manifold (10) we have the following lower bound:

$$|x(t)| \geq M(x(t_0), x'(t_0)) e^{\int_{t_0}^t \delta(s) ds}. \quad (11)$$

From theorem 2, i.e., from (11) we have

COROLLARY. If all the conditions of theorem 2 are satisfy, then the solution $x(t)$ of the IDE (1) with initial data $x^{(k)}(t_0)$ ($k = 0, 1$) from (10) we have: $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = \infty$.

NOTICE. In view of the change (2), for c^* from the manifold of initial data $M(x(t_0), x'(t_0))$ (10), we obtain:

$$c^* = (x(t_0))^2 + (W(t_0))^{-2} [x'(t_0) - \delta(t_0)x(t_0)]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t_0).$$

Note that a problem similar to our previously solved for the IDE (1) with

$F(t, x, y, z) \equiv 0$, $\delta(t) \equiv \delta = const$ in the article the authors [9]. In this paper, we have largely adhered to the style of the material [9].

The analysis shows that for the condition (10) will play a significant role weighting function $\delta(t)$, which plays the role of the trial function and in practice it is chosen so that the whole complex of conditions of Theorems 1.2 were natural.

References

1. Iskandarov S. O metode nestandardnogo svedeniya k sisteme dlya lineynogo vol'terrova integro-differentsial'nogo uravneniya tret'ego poryadka // Issled. po integro-differents. uravneniyam. Bishkek: Ilim, 2009. V. 41. S. 30–35.
2. Vol'terra V. Matematicheskaya teoriya bor'by za sushchestvovaniye: Per. s fr. O.N. Bondarenko / pod. red. Yu.M. Svirezheva. M.: Nauka, 1976. 288 s.
3. Iskandarov S. Metod vesovykh i srezyvayushchikh funktsiy i asimptoticheskiye svoystva resheniy integro-differentsial'nykh i integral'nykh uravneniy tipa Vol'terra. Bishkek: Ilim, 2002. 216 s.
4. Ved' Yu. A., Pakhyrov Z. Dostatochnyye priznaki ogranicennosti resheniy lineynykh integro-differentsial'nykh uravneniy // Issled. po integro-differents. uravneniyam v Kirgizii. Frunze: Ilim, 1973. V. 9. S. 68–103.
5. Iskandarov S. Metod vesovykh i srezyvayushchikh funktsii i asimptoticheskiye svoystva resheniy uravneniy tipa Vol'terra: avtoref. diss... dokt. fiz.-mat. nauk: 01.01.02. Bishkek, 2003. 34 s.
6. Matveyev N.M. Metody integrirovaniya obyknovennykh differentsial'nykh uravneniy. M.: Vysshaya shkola, 1967. 564 s.
7. Ved'. Yu. A. Dostatochnyye priznaki otsutstviya osobennyykh tochek u integro-differentsial'nykh uravneniy // Issled. po integro-differents. uravneniyam v Kirgizii. Frunze: Ilim, 1965. V. 3. S. 123–135.
8. Kitayeva L.N. O nalichii nevertikal'nykh asimptot u resheniy differentsial'nykh uravneniy vtorogo poryadka s zapazdyvayushchim argumentom // Tam zhe. S. 213–222.
9. Iskandarov S., Khalilova G.T. Ob otsenke snizu resheniy lineynogo vol'terrova integro-differentsial'nogo uravneniya vtorogo poryadka // Issled. po integro-differents. uravneniyam. Bishkek: Ilim, 2010. V. 42. S. 29–34.